


above-identified patent application in view of the following remarks.

Applicants filed a Reply to Office Action on April 14, 2002 which included the amendment of claim 40 as shown herein above.

The Examiner objected to the Reply to Office Action as being non-responsive to the prior Office Action because the amendments to claim 40 were not presented in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.173(b)(1) and (2) and (d). The entire text of claim 40 has been underlined in response to the Examiner's objections.

In view of the foregoing, the amendments to claim 40 are in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.173(b)(1) and (2) and (d). Therefore, the Reply to Office Action filed April 14, 2002 is now in condition for consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

  
James A. Leiz  
Reg. No. 46,109  
Agent for Applicants and Assignee  
FISH & NEAVE  
Customer No. 1473  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020-1104  
Tel.: (212) 596-9000  
Fax: (212) 596-9090

I hereby certify that this  
correspondence is being  
deposited with the U.S.  
Postal Service as First  
Class Mail in an envelope  
addressed to: Box Reissue  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 2327  
Arlington, VA 22201, on

July 25, 2002  
William Bailey  
Name of person signing  
William Bailey  
Signature of person signing